

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

21531

TRANSMITTAL FORM

 TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number	09/618,950
		Filing Date	July 19, 2000
		First Named Inventor	Brian Lo Bue
		Art Unit	2153
		Examiner Name	Strange, Aaron N.
<i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	41	Attorney Docket Number	CISCO-1608 (032590-084)

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply (38 pgs.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	1) Interview Summary - 1 pg.
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	2) Definition of "compile" - 1 pg.
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	3) Return postcard
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT


Firm Name	THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP		
Signature			
Printed Name	John P. Schaub		
Date	January 10, 2008	Reg. No.	42,125

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Karen A. Rogers	Date	January 10, 2008

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Atty. Docket No.: CISCO-1608 (032590-084)

Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: 09/618,950

First Named Applicant: Brian Lo Bue

Examiner: Aaron N. Strange

Art Unit: 2153 Status of Application: Pending

Participants: (1) John P. Schaub

(2) Aaron N. Strange

(3) _____ (4) _____

(4)

Date of Interview: 12/4/2007

Time: 3:00 PM (EDT)

Type of Interview:

(a) Telephonic

(b) [] Personal

(c) [] Video Conference

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>§ 102 Rej.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Ben-Dor et al.</u>	[X]	[]	[X]
(2) <u>§ 112 Rej.</u>	1		[X]	[]	[X]

Continuation Sheet Attached Copy of Amendment attached

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The § 102 rejection of Claim 1 based on Ben-Dor et al. and the § 112 rejection of Claim 1 were discussed. No agreement was reached.

Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

Mr V N

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)